Maximizing Benefits and Opportunities: Lessons from the Climate Neutrality Journey: the Estonian Example

Lauri Tammiste Centre Director SEI Tallinn



This is a story in 3 parts

Addressing the anxieties and building confidence

Assessing pathways and making choices

Following through and moving forwards

Creating confidence in climate neutrality

2019: a lot of anxieties amongst politicians, entrepreneurs, citizens

- Will the public get behind the decision?
- What about energy security and inflation?
- What does it mean for the competitiveness for our economy?
- What will happen to North-East Estonia (i.e. oil shale region)?
- Is it actually doable or an utopia?
- Who's gonna pay for it?
- Do we all have to become vegans and close agriculture?

Government procured a study to address these concerns



Reaching climate neutrality in

Estonia



Source: https://www.sei.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/kliimaambitsiooni-analüüs.pdf

Key expectations for the study

- Is going to net-zero emissions in Estonia by 2050 doable?
- What additional measures, beyond measures and activities already planned/implemented by Estonia, should be taken in order to achieve the increased total greenhouse gas emissions reduction ambition by 2050?
- What will be the costs and impacts associated with this transition?

What we delivered?

We built a easy-to-use toolbox and a narrative



For 60 measures in 6 sectors, we estimated:

- max scaling up potential and optimum period of implementation
- investments required both public and private sector
- impact on GHG emissions
- impact on jobs, and GDP

Reconstruction of kindergarten buildings		E	TABLE OF CON	SUMMARY								
				o 15 / 1								
			5	Quality of Assumptions								
			3	Production or o	onsumption	measure			-			
RESULTS			3	External effect	3				-			
Total Investment 2021 2020, they and EUR	70.202		1	Applicable dec	906							
Total Investment, 2021-2050; thousand EUR	140 404											
Investment per year, they and EUR	5 696		12 000 -						8 000			
Eixed costs ("-" revenues) 2021-2020; thourand EUR	-17 676		10,000						7 000 -			
Fixed costs ("-" revenues), 2021-2050; thousand EUR	-113 941		10 000						6.000			
Income / investments, 2021-2050	0.81		000 s t						- th			
Net cost ("-" revenue), 2021-2050: thousand EUR	26 463		uoj						5 000 -			
ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT			- 6 coo						4 000 0			
Reduction of fuel consumption, 2021-2050; GWh	654 556								3 000 2			
CO2eg reduction 2021-2050; ton	214 434		3 4 000 -						1000			
CO2eq annual reduction; t/y	7 148		3.000						2000 -			
CO2eq annual reduction; ton/2050y	7 669								1000 8			
CO2eq annual reduction; ton/2030y	5 624		0 -						o ₹			
Marginal cost (cost efficiency); EUR / tonne	123		155	-02 -04 -04 -0	1. FO, GO, GO, S	3° . 6° . 6° .	AND, 400, 940	PD, PD, 40				
SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT												
GDP growth, 2021-2050; thousand EUR	12 438											
Annual GDP growth, thousand EUR	415											
Total employment, total jobs 2021-2050	1 427											
Total employment, jobs per year	48											
Direct Employment, total jobs 2021-2050	337											
Direct Employment, jobs per year	11											
PRECUMPTIONS												
Start on the measure implementation period	2020											
End of the measure implementation period	2040											
Years of implementation	21											
Maximum implementation potential of the measure: m2 of kindergarten buildin	405 011		Building registe	r> Total heated	surface of k	indergarten	s with an en	erzy label be	low C			
Implementation volume of the measure; %	100%							07				
Volume of implementation of the measure per year; m2/y	19 286											
Specific investment cost; EUR/m2	364		Riigi Teataja> 0	onditions and F	rocedure fo	r the Use of S	support for t	e Promotion	of Energy Effic	iency and the Us	e of Renewable	Energ
Financial energy savings; EUR/m2/y	14,1		Renewal of EN	MAK Study of t	he energy sa	ving potent	ial of building	s; 2013				
Financial savings in maintenance costs; EUR/m2/y	0											
Energy savings; kWh/m2/y	81,0											
Specific emissions of the energy delivered to the buildings 2020; tCO2 eq/MWh	0,333											
Specific emissions of the energy delivered to the buildings 2030; tCO2 eq/MWh	0,327											
Specific emissions of the energy delivered to the buildings 2040; tCO2 eq/MWh	0,327											
Specific emissions of the energy delivered to the buildings 2050; tCO2 eq/MWh	0,327											
Investment lifespan; years	25											
GDP growth. 2021-2030: thousand FUR												
GDP growth, 2031-2040: thousand EUR												
GDP growth, 2041-2050; thousand EUR												
CO2eq reduction 2021-2030; ton	33 434											
CO2eq reduction 2031-2040; ton	84 364											
CO2eq reduction 2041-2050; ton	96 635											
Net cost ("-" revenue), 2021-2030; thousand EUR	52 526											
Net cost ("-" revenue), 2031-2040; thousand EUR	25 332											
Net cost ("-" revenue), 2041-2050; thousand EUR	-51 396											
Marginal cost (cost efficiency) 2021-2030; EUR / tonne	1571,020015											
Marginal cost (cost efficiency) 2031-2040; EUR / tonne	300,2747945											
Marginal cost (cost efficiency) 2041-2050; EUR / tonne	-531,8532999											

Which created basis for comparison between possible actions in various sectors

Reduction emissi 2021-2 [tCO2el	of CO2 ons 050 <v a]<="" th=""><th>Marginal cost CO2 emission reduction 2021-2050 [€/tCO2ekv] 20</th><th>of hs Investments requirement 021-2050 [M 4</th><th>Investments I public secto 2021-2030 [M €/a] C [[]] C 20</th><th>reation of jok</th><th>hange in GDP 2021-2050 [M €/a]</th></v>	Marginal cost CO2 emission reduction 2021-2050 [€/tCO2ekv] 20	of hs Investments requirement 021-2050 [M 4	Investments I public secto 2021-2030 [M €/a] C [[]] C 20	reation of jok	hange in GDP 2021-2050 [M €/a]
Increasing the share of biomethane in transport sector	110 307	5	233	0,8	759	20,5
Renovation of the district heating system		-123	120	6,0	106	2,7
Renovating district heating boilers and changing the fuel		-75	140	0,0	194	5,4
Installing heat pumps		1 947	96	0,0	35	1,2
Replacing district heating with local heating options		227	16	0,5	8	0,2
Alternative fuels in agricultural machinery		525	0	0,0	-47	-4,7
District cooling		-478	36	0,2	-7	-2,7
LED street lighting		750	150	0,5	-7	-4,3
Production of hydrogen (replacing 10% of natural gas)		21	11	0,0	2	-0,3
Reducing the carbon intensiveness of energy used in cement industry		38	0	0,0	2	0,3
Reducing the carbon intensiveness of energy used in lime industry		105	0	0,0	0	0,0
TOTAL		-	803	8	1047	18
Share in all analysed measures		-	5%	4%	34%	-7%

And showed what the whole story would look like



What messages emerged from our analysis?

Is climate neutrality doable in Estonia by 2050?

Yes.

Are we on track?

No.



Figure 4.9. Total GHG projections until 2040, kt CO₂ eq

Source: "Report pursuant to Articles 13 and 14 of Regulation (EU) 525/2013" (2019)

What do we have to do?

- 1. Reduce emissions by 2050 to ca 2,2 Mt CO2 eqv
- 2. Ensure CO2 removal in LULUCF sector by ca 4 Mt CO2 eqv

We need to act fast, because:

- It will increase the chances of actually meeting the goal
- Will bring greater economic savings
- Can create new focus and long-term competitive advantage



3 main focuses 2020-2030

- 1. Energy efficiency in all sectors
- 2. Energy carriers/supply towards climate neutral
- 3. Carbon removal in LULUCF

	la c	Make	020	025	030	035	010	045	050
Kood	Meede		2	~	N	~	2	~	~
Hoon 01	Hoolekandeasutused	100%							
Hoon U2	Lastearahooned	10015					_	-	
Moon 03	Varkeelamud	50%	-					_	
Hoon 04	Konterelamica	1005	1.1				_		
Hoon 08	Rimohonad	1005						1	
Hose 07	Kaitaaministaarium	1005					_		
Hoon 08	Kaubandua-teeninduahooned	1005							
Hoon 09	Tristushooned	100%							
En 01	Päikeseenergia	100%							
En 02	Meretuulepargid	100%							
En 03	Maismaatuulepargid	100%						1	
En 04	Hüdropumpjaamad	100%							
En 05	Rohegaas transpordis	100%					-		
En 06	Kaugküttetorustik	100%							
En 07	Kaugküttekatlad	100%							
En 08	Soojuspumbad	100%	1.0			1	1.0	1	
En 09	Soe tarbevesi kaugküttest	0%			1				
En 10	Lokaalsed küttelahendused	100%	1.1				1	1	
En 11	Moodulreaktorid	100%							
En 12	Põllumajandusmasinate alternatiivkütus	50%							
En 13	Kaugjahutus	100%	1.1						
En 14	LED tänavavalgustus	100%							
En 15	Vesinikutootmine	10%	1.1	1	1	1	1.0	1	
En 16	Põlevkiviõli tootmismahu suurendamine	0%	-						
En 17	Eelrafinerimistehase rajamine	0%	1.1		1	1			
En 18	Tsemenditööstus	100%							
En 19	Lubjetööstus	100%							
CC 01	Tööstusettevõtete jääkgaasid (CCU)	OX.	1.2						
Ind 01	Fluoritud KHG-de ja mootorsõidukite kliimaseadmed	100%							
LULUCF 01	Metsastamine	100%							
LULUCF 02	Turvasmuldade viimine looduslikuks rohumaaks	100%					_		
Trans 01	Surugaasiautod	100%				_	_	_	
Trans 02	Elektriautod	100%						-	
Trans 03	Raudiae eextrintseerimine	100%		_				-	
Trans 04	Kaubavanetuse suuramine maanteelt raudteele	100%							
Trans US	Eironi elektrirongide soetamine	1005					-		
Trans 07	Pail Baltics to balloud exertised	1005	1.27		-		-	-	
Trans 08	Úbistranspordiradade arendamine	100%			-				
Trans 09	Pail Balti-	1005					_		
Trans 10	Elektribussid	100%				-			
Trans 11	Surugeasibusside kasutuselevõtt	100%							
Trans 12	Vesinikusõidukid	100%			1	_	1	1	
Trans 13	Rattataristu linnades (koos kergliiklustunnelitega)	100%						1	
Trans 14	Tartu trammiliikluse arendamine	100%	1.1	1		1		1	
Trans 15	Praamilikluse elektrifitseerimine	100%							
Agri 01	Sõnnikukäitlemise parendamine	100%							
Agri 02	Happeliste muldade neutraliseerimine	100%				1	1		
Agri 03	Täppisväetamise seadmete ostutoetus	100%						1	
Agri 04	Kasvuhooned ja köögiviljade lachooned	100%	1.1		1	1	1	1	
Agri 05	Auditid suuremates põllumajandusettevõtetes	100%							
Agri 06	Alternatiivkütusel põllumajandusmasinad	100%				1	1	1	
Agri 07	Rohumaal karjatamise osakaalu kasv	100%							
Agri 08	Sööda kvaliteedi parandamine piimalehmadel	100%							
Agri 09	Talvine taimkate	100%						1	
Agri 10	Otsekülv	25%							

Climate neutrality is hard: we needed to implement 85% out 60 measures in energy, buildings, transport, agriculture, industry, LULUCF sectors to reach climate neutrality by 2050 – all sectors need to contribute Does reaching climate neutrality cost exactly 17,3 billion euros?

No.

It will depend on policy choices

Energy, transport and buildings dominate the investment needs



Joonis 7. Investeeringud aastate ja sektorite kaupa, miljardit eurot (aasta 2020 investeeringuteks arvestatud 61,8 miljonit eurot).

Will all this be additional cost to the society?

No.

 a) we invest into these areas anyway, we just need to invest more and greener

b) altogether, these measures would bring economic savings

Early investments will start to generate economic savings in the last decades



Meetmete aastane kogukulu (kui "-" siis kogutulu) sektorite ja kümnendite kaupa

Joonis 8. Meetmete aastane kogukulu (kui "-" siis kogutulu) sektorite ja kümnendite kaupa.

The required investments are affordable

	2021-	-2030	2031-	-2040	2041-2050		
SECTOR	Public sector	Private sector	Public sector	Private sector	Public sector	Private sector	
Energy	563	4856	58	2574	60	515	
Buildings	698	1727	698	1727	138	300	
Transport	779	1005	510	39	447	330	
Agriculture	88,5	44	-	-	0	-	
LULCF	51,5	-	51,5	-	51,5	-	
TOTAL	9812 mln €		5658	mln €	1842 mln €		

This means on average 4% of the GDP in the next decade, 2% of the GDP in the second decade and less than 1% in the last decade.

Majority of the investments will be made by the private sector

	Avalik sektor (miljonit eurot)	Erasektor (miljonit eurot)
Hooned	1534	3 754
Energeetika	681	7 945
Transport	1736	1374
Tööstus (protsessid)	-	4
Põllumajandus	88	44
LULUCF	155	-
кокки	4 194	13 121
osakaal (%)	24%	76%

Tabel 5. Investeeringute indikatiivne jaotumine avaliku ja erasektori vahel.

These investments will not "just happen"

- Need to remove administrative, regulatory barriers
- Create stimulating investments environment
- Ensure the skill and competence base

How does climate neutrality impact GDP and jobs?







News

SHARE

f

9

 $\mathbf{\nabla}$

Stenbock House, 3 October 2019 – At today's session, the government approved positions on Europe's long-term strategic vision 'A Clean Planet for All', whereby Estonia in principle supports setting the goal of climate neutrality by 2050 for the entire European Union.

According to Prime Minister Jüri Ratas, investing in combating climate change is investing in our future, wealth, and wisdom. 'I am convinced that every euro we invest in combating climate change and new technologies will give us multiple returns, both in wealth and in quality of life. This is supported by the SEI Tallinn analysis released earlier this week. Thanks to the analysis, we have a road map on how to invest wisely in combating climate change', the prime minister said. The prime minister also considered it important to raise awareness, so that people would

make environmentally sound decisions in their daily consumption, transport, and nutrition choices.

Helping to make strategic choices in energy policy

To move forward with climate neutrality policies, government...

- Launched new energy sector development roadmap process
- Initiated several key policy research studies
- Created high level green transition expert group to directly advise prime minister and cabinet members

3 major studies – 1) electricity 2) heating and cooling 3) gas sector



http://basrec.net/projects/development-of-the-eastern-baltic-regional-gas-market/

From pathway modelling – to economic impacts – to policy action plan



We can ensure security of supply with clean technologies

Generation 2030

Generated TWh

Batteries
Biomass
Fossil gas
Hydro
Offshore wind
Biomass in oil shale plant
Onshore wind
Other renewables
Solar
Waste
DSM
Nuclear
Pumped hydro



Generation 2050

Generated TWh



👝 Batteries 🛑 Biomass 💿 Fossil gas 🌑 Hydro 🔵 Offshore wind 🛑 Biomass in oil shale plant 🛑 Onshore wind

-4

Wind, solar and batteries were economically the most competitive technologies

Capacity 2030

MW

- 👝 Batteries 🔴 Biomass 💿 Fossil gas 🌰 Hydro 🌑 Offshore wind 🛑 Biomass in oil shale plant 👛 Onshore wind
- 🔵 Other renewables 😑 Solar 🛑 Waste 🛑 DSM 🛑 Nuclear 🔵 Pumped hydro



- ← Dispatchable



Capacity 2050

MW

👝 Batteries 🔴 Biomass 💿 Fossil gas 🌰 Hydro 🌑 Offshore wind 🛑 Biomass in oil shale plant 🛑 Onshore wind

🔴 Other renewables 🥚 Solar 🌰 Waste 🛑 DSM 🥚 Nuclear 🛑 Pumped hydro

← Dispatchable



Out of all the scenarios, only oil shale pathway had negative economic impact

Macroeconomic adjustment of the different pathways (demand & price effects), cumulative GDP (2025-2050) based on S1 base case results

billions of euros difference compared to the business as usual pathway



-30

Green policy expert group delivered to government:

- Memo-style report to decision-makers
- Building on existing research and strategies
- Our role is to be the spotlight and focus on systematic, inter-connected problems
- Priority recommendations for governance and 9 topical areas
- Create sense of urgency and mobilize action in 1-2 years to speed up transition



Commitment from cabinet and cooperation was vital

- One on one with cabinet members
- Without press, without extra media activities
- Taking time 2 hour sessions, covering limited number of topics



Following through and pushing forward

New coalition government has in 2023

- Approved holistic green policy action plan that covers ca 80% of high-level expert group recommendations
- Created Climate Ministry and brought all key topics under one umbrella
- Simplified planning procedures for wind parks
- Increased investments grids
- Increased investments into Energy efficiency
- Carried out biggest auction for renewable electricity
- Gearing up green agenda actions in across all sectors

Modelling can give you tools and a way forward, but it will not replace political courage and commitment! Thank you for your attention!